IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO.458 OF 2003

Ramesh Annarao Jadhav & Ors. ...Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra ...Respondent

.....

None for Applicants.

Mr.V.B.Konde-Deshmukh, A.P.P. for Respondent.

.....

CORAM: A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.

FEBRUARY 28, 2005.

P.C.

1. None appears for the Applicants. Learned A.P.P. states, on instructions, that Applicant No.1 has already undergone the sentence imposed by the Courts below. He further states that statements of the Applicants were recorded while in custody and they had stated that they are not interested in pursuing the present Application. Perhaps, it is for that reason, none appears for the Applicants. Even if that is the reason, the conduct of the Advocate not to appear inspite of

his name being notified on the Board, however, cannot be countenanced. It was the duty of the Advocate on record for the Applicants to appear before the Court and inform the Court about the stand of the Applicants or the fact that he has no instructions in the matter. Dismissed for non-proseciution.

A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.